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1. History

- In the late 1770s, Maria Theresa, the Empress of Austria, ordered an opera house be built in Milan.
- When it opened in 1778, it was called Teatro alla Scala -- La Scala for short; and the first performance was an opera ballet called *Europa Riconosciuta (Europe Revealed)*, written by Salieri, a rival of Mozart.
- The theatre had the largest stage in Europe and for the last two centuries, was home to nearly every notable composer, opera star and orchestral conductor.
- The horseshoe-shaped auditorium of gold and red boxes was originally built to simulate a musical instrument.
- It was 'modernized' in 1921 and restored in 1946 after having been bombed in World War II.
The old opera house 1778

bombed in World War II
Enter Ricardo Muti, the talented, magisterial and abrasive conductor who never takes 'no' for an answer. He succeeded in shutting the Opera House down for a three-year renovation process started in 2001.
The old La Scala

- After so many years of use - and some abuse - the carpet became stained and frayed,
- the cream-colored and gilt paint chipped,
- the velvet surfaces of the seats were smudged.
- The plumbing proved to be grossly inefficient.
- The backstage storage space was totally inadequate.
- The building itself came close to being declared fire hazard!!!
This renovation project took three years during which the theater was closed, and it included the construction of a adjacent elliptical building and fly tower, designed by architect Mario Botta for housing rehearsal rooms and serving as a scenery changing facility.

The renovation proposal originally aroused a strong sense of melodrama among the extremely excitable Italian opera buffs who feared the desecration of their beloved opera house, but the acoustics and the elegance of the auditorium (whose interior was executed by architect Elisabetta Fabbri) were preserved (and even enhanced).

In this paper we explain how this project was achieved.
In December 2002, I was called by Ricardo Muti of La Scala who engaged me to provide acoustical consultation.

The first day of assignment they gave me the plans, a report of measurements that were carried out before the disassembling of the auditorium, and they showed me the enclosure in destroyed state.

I got to see the auditorium in its cadaverous state.
RT measured, mean value, December 20, 2000 by Andreas Hoischen MLS System. N seats = 2289

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s unoccupied</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT s occupied</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ T_{\text{low}} = 1.35 \quad T_{\text{mid}} = 1.15 \quad T_{\text{high}} = 1.00 \]

\[ T_{\text{low}} = 1.22 \quad T_{\text{mid}} = 1.06 \quad T_{\text{high}} = 0.90 \]
**PREVIOUS ACOUSTIC RESULTS AND OPINIONS**

**Beranek (1962), (1997)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occupied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLow = 1.45  Tmid = 1.2  Thigh = 1.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unoccupied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paolini (1947)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T_{Low} = 1.425  T_{mid} = 1.35  T_{high} = 1.175

**BERANEK OPINION (1962):** The acoustics are excellent for those lucky enough to sit either at the front of the boxes, on the main floor, or in the galleries, For those at the rear of boxes, particularly in the side boxes, a radio broadcast would present the sound more faithfully. ... The sound is clear, warm and brilliant........
Before studying the room in depth, I talked with several people in Milan and I found many other comments in Google groups regarding earlier problems with bad acoustics.
PLAN OF ACOUSTIC PERFORMANCE IN THE MONUMENTAL PART

I concentrated on the following problems:

- The deplorable state of main stalls floor and its flat slope.
- The boxes’ walls that contain excessively light membrane resonance elements and very absorbent fabric.
- The boxes’ ceiling with their likewise unsatisfactory membrane resonance material.
- The acoustic design of the old chairs.
- Redesign and reconstruction of the destroyed musician’s pit.
- Acoustical shell design
- Upgrading of the theatre annexes by improving the acoustics of some pavements and beefing up the acoustic insulation of some areas.
- Advising installation engineers on the reduction of machinery noises and vibrations.
Ceiling: Reliefs of gypsum on acumen plywood 12 mm
3D-SCALA view 2, (ceiling)
3D-SCALA view 3, Proscenium
3D-SCALA view 4, (floor boxes)
3D-SCALA view 5, (pit)
3D-SCALA view 6, (walls)
Floor solution (main stalls)

1. Oak parquet 22 mm
2. Sheet of grained of rubber mixed with cork, 6 mm thick and 250 Kg/m³
3. Plywood panel (compensato marino) of 25 mm
4. Panel of chip of plaster 15 mm
5. Sheet of reticulated polyethylene, 10 mm
6. Panel of chip of plaster .18 mm
7. Plywood panel (compensato marino) of 16 mm
8. Fixed wood studs 100 x 100 mm with gap of 400 mm, filled with dry sand.
9. Sheet of very fine PVC, 0.2 mm
10. Layer of poured concrete
11. Soil
Floor (main stalls)
Seat acoustic design under seats and back seat

- Heavy velour, 500 g/m²
- Reticulated polyethylene, 5 mm
- Wood structure
- Polyurethane foam, open cell density 40 - 60 kg/m³
- Polyurethane foam closed, green line, 5 mm, density > 200 Kg/m³
- Subjection system (black line)
- Rock wool 30 mm, density according to version 1 or 2.
- Perforated panel (two versions)
Seat acoustic design (absorption resonator)
acoustic shell design
acoustic shell design
acoustic shell design
New Scala (2004)
New Scala (2004)
The boxes have been refurbished with crimson silk over a sheet of 5 mm reticulated polyethylene.

**WALLS AMONG BOXES**
1. Fabric Decorative
2. Sheet of 5 mm reticulated polyethylene
3. Gypsum board, 12 mm
4. Legno, 25 mm

**REAR WALLS**
1. Brick wall (matoni)
2. Gypsum board, 12 mm
3. Sheet of 5 mm reticulated polyethylene
4. Fabric Decorative

**CEILING BOXES**
1. Floor
2. Air chamber extending 50 = 100 mm,
3. Gypsum board, 15 mm.
4. Length between interacting layers
   - In the first and second ceiling boxes $D = 600 \text{ mm}$
   - In the third and fourth ceiling boxes $D = 500 \text{ mm}$
   - In the fifth and sixth ceiling boxes $D = 400 \text{ mm}$
New Scala (2004)
New Scala (2004)
New Scala (2004)

- The theatre has literally been powered into the 21st century.
  **The most obvious change, apparently, is in the acoustics.**
  Before, singers had to stand in particular places on the stage to be heard. In fact, there was a 'Maria Callas' spot!! Carpets that absorb sound have been replaced with layers of resonant material and a noise-reflecting oak floor (i.e., vibrating floor or harmonic box).
- A new set of stage machinery and an extra stage that can contain scenery for three operas at a time, brings **La Scala** up to the standard of New York's Metropolitan Opera House or London's Covent Garden.
- **La Scala** has the only machinery in the world that moves horizontally and vertically, according to engineer Luigi Bert. "It is fantastic."
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Higini Arau
Estudi Acustic H. Arau,
C/Travesera de Dalt 118;
08024 Barcelona, Spain.
SCALA MODEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s unoccupied</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ T_{low} = 1.35 \quad T_{mid} = 1.15 \quad T_{high} = 1.00 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s occupied</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ T_{low} = 1.22 \quad T_{mid} = 1.06 \quad T_{high} = 0.90 \]
## PREVIOUS ACOUSTIC RESULTS AND OPINIONS

**Beranek (1962), (1997)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occupied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLow = 1.45  Tmid = 1.2  Thigh = 1.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f(Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT s</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unoccupied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paolini (1947)

TLow = 1.425  Tmid = 1.35  Thigh = 1.175
**Figure 1:** Dimensioned theory of H.Arau ([1],[2]). In the left graphic are exposed the limits of acceptation of the Reverberation Time versus volume for opera use (to see yellow shadow). In the right graphic is indicated the prediction of $T_{\text{MID}}$ in basis to dimensioned law. ($T_{\text{MID}}$ is the mean value of the Reverberation Time of the frequencies of 500 y 1000 Hz for occupied hall).

GEOMETRY AND SEATING CAPACITY:

- Volume hall: $V = 10668.5 \text{ m}^3$ (this volume do not include the volume of the actuation box placed on stage)
- Seating capacity: $N = 2289$ seats
- Relation $V/N = 4.66 \text{ m}^3/\text{seat.}$
- Relation $V/S_A = 554.8$ ($S_A$ is the audience area seated in main stalls)
- Fraction of audience area placed in boxes with relation main stalls area $S_T$: $\beta = 0.87$
- Using: opera.

RT PREDICTION BY DIMENSIONED

Applying dimensioned theory is possible to realize a very approximated prediction of the $T_{\text{MID}}$, for occupied hall, having account that the absorption in the enclosure only is due to the audience.
In this case has been obtained $T_{\text{MID}} = 1.4 \text{ s.}$ This it would be the maximum time of possible reverberation in the room.

RT PREDICTION ADDING OTHER ABSORPTION

Applying the Sabine formula [3] we obtain that the equivalent units of absorption at this time of reverberation, are: $A_0 = 1234 \text{ m}^2$.
Adding to $A_0$ the absorption units due to a historical velvets in up part on the front of the boxes ($A_1 = 145.7 \text{ m}^2$), we using Sabine we have that $T_{\text{mid}} = 1.25 \text{ s}$
The reverberation Time has been calculated using several statistical theories as: W.C. Sabine [3] and H.Arau [4], of very different conception, and others of Ray Tracing method J.P.Vian (Epidaure) [5], and ODEON [6].

Now we consider that Volume is Volume hall + Volume box actuation = 15836.1 m³

**TABLE 1:** RT calculated for occupied audience and bottom wall of actuation box with decorated in bottom box actuation scenery and other sides walls and ceiling of stage with curtains with absorption type Knudsen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frecuencia (Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>( T_{\text{MID}} )</th>
<th>( T_{\text{LOW}} )</th>
<th>( T_{\text{HIGH}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT Sabine</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Vian [5]</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure: Graphic Table 1
The calculations were carried out with absorption curtains, type Knudsen, on scenery box actuation and occupied hall.
The calculations were carried out with absorption curtains, type Knudsen, on scenery box actuation and unoccupied hall.
a) Maximum scattering (audience 0.7, remainder walls 0.95) ODEON, [6] Version 6.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>T_{MID}</th>
<th>T_{LOW}</th>
<th>T_{HIGH}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT 20</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT 30</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Medium scattering (audience 0.7, remainder walls 0) ODEON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>T_{MID}</th>
<th>T_{LOW}</th>
<th>T_{HIGH}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT 20</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT 30</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Minimum scattering (audience 0, remainder walls 0) ODEON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>T_{MID}</th>
<th>T_{LOW}</th>
<th>T_{HIGH}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT20</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT30</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Same case with Classical Theories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>T_{MID}</th>
<th>T_{LOW}</th>
<th>T_{HIGH}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT Sabine</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT Arau</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The calculations were carried out with decorated in bottom box actuation scenery and the other sides walls and ceiling of actuation box with curtains absorption type Knudsen and occupied hall.
The calculations were carried out with decorated in bottom box actuation scenery and the other sides walls and ceiling of actuation box with curtains absorption type Knudsen and unoccupied hall.
STRENGTH G dB
INTELIGIBILITY STI

CALCULO DE MAPAS SONOROS

Mapa | P. local | D | T | L | P | [Loc+map] | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 | M8 | OK | Menú | ¿?

Local | Alla Scala di Milano

Fecha | 12/02/03

Otros:

STI Inteligibilidad: 0.59

Fmax: 1.00

Función: 0.80

Media: 0.71

Esviación: 0.12

Corrección: 0
LATERAL ENERGY
STRENGTH G dB
INTELIGIBILITY STI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapa</th>
<th>P. local</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Loc+map</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- **Local**: Alla Scala di Milano
- **Fecha**: 12/02/03

**Electricidad**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STI Inteligibilidad</th>
<th>500 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F max</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Función**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Desviación</th>
<th>Corrección</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STRENGTH dB
LATERAL ENERGY
INTELIGIBILITY STI
Floating pavement.
NEW EFFECT: “Lifting Sound Radiated from the Floor”.

- We designed a elastically floating floor that it picks up the sound by direct and structural way. Then the superior plate puts on vibration being created a flexion wave that is transmitted to the whole audience area, placed on main stalls, in very brief time.

This vibration brings sound directly near to audience, by means of re-irradiation from the floor.

This phenomenon occurs because the longitudinal sound speed in the top wood layer is approximately ten times faster that of the sound in the air. As unexpected, and additional result, we get that a vertically radiated sound produced by a multitude of plane waves that arrive virtually at the same time from floor to everyone sitting in the main stalls. As a overall result, the rising sound reaches the audience almost at the same time, as in a harmonically resonant box being the spring a non porous and elastic substance. In many old theatres have under floor an air chamber acting like spring but then is produced a very important sound absorption in the low frequency normally not wished, and in our case is not generated.

(This is similar to the air climate of a hall by impelling air from the floor to the ceiling).
As far as I know, this vertical sound radiation is a new effect in acoustics not known. It may very well be that this new effect may be considered in the future as a new acoustic concept such desirable as the lateral sound energy, which only a few years ago became an important acoustic concept.
I propose a name for this new effect of instantaneously perceiving the sound emanating from the floor: “Lifting Sound Radiated from the Floor”.
Something of it were known by Alessandro Cocchy, Paper 2aAAb3 ASA New York Congress 2004, through us, when we were designing the floating floor in the Scala.
- In reality only we were trying to transmit a little vibration to seated people so that they listened the sound via corporal, as the deaf ones they listen.

BOTH EFFECTS WERE GET
Milano - " Acustica eccellente ": parola di Riccardo Muti. Il primo esame, quale e' la prova musicale fatto stamani del maestro Muti con l'orchestra all'abito, e' è superato dunque con eccellente. E fu un esam fondamentale, quello dell'acustica, per un teatro, come lo Scala, nato per produrre musica che e' è sommesso ad una lunga e minuziosa opera di ristrutturazione e restaurazione. L'acustica segue le sue leggi, ben codificati nella fisica, ma modificarlacome in un 'strumento musicale' gigantesco può considerarsi un teatro quale lo Scala non e' cosa semplice......
Ma subito ogni apprensione e' è cancellata, del suono di una nota sola del violoncello nel Guglielmo Tell, a quello pieno dell' insieme degli strumenti nella 'Forza della fortuna'. Tutte le variazioni sonore e timbriche dell'orchestra si sono espressi in questo esordio prova. " Muti entusiasta ", dopo Antonio Acerbo ha riferito, il direttore dei lavori. " Acustica eccellente ", ha detto, riferendo le parole del maestro,

Ma l'acustica come e'? " Per me il giudizio più importante - dice nella nota scaligera il maestro - fu quello dei musicisti; sono voi che producono i suoni, sono voi che li hanno diffusi del buco della Scala per decadi. Nessun migliore di essi potè stimare l'acustica della sala dopo l'intervento di restaurazione. Il lavoro dell'ingegner Arau e' stato perfetto. L'ho letto subito sul viso di tutti i musicisti e nella sua esplosione di si congratula' alla fine dell'esecuzione ". è stato, in effetti, un molto, caldo, commosso applauso dei musicisti a tritare nella sala. " Un applauso liberatorio continua il Maestro Muti ed anche di gratitudine per chi ha contribuito a questa straordinaria impresa.....
Ma quello di Muti segue perfettamente il giudizio dei suoi professori di orchestra. Vale la pena di citare, uno per tutto, quello di Ernesto Schiavo, primo violino: "Sono emozionato ed accontento. E' una sensazione come quello di chi trova un amore, una fidanzata. Un'emozione profonda: ritornare in un posto dove senti che lì e' qualcosa". Ancora: "La sensazione dominante, a quello di quello' dell'acustica, il più importante e' quella che ho girato a guardare la Scala di dove l'ho vista per 30 anni. Anche l'allegria di ritornare in centro a Milano: sara' un placebo, ma si respira un'altra aria, la storia, le note di quello Taci che vagano per la sala.... I suoni non scapparono fuori: furono gli stessi di 200 anni fa, non sono andati fuori coi lavori.... l'ho trovata intatta". Il suono? " Un bell' acustico. Tra suoli di velluto e suoli di legno – aggiunge Schiavi - fa già' un po' di differenza. E' un suono onesto e bollato, e' molto suono". Significa che il lavoro del fisico catalano Higini Arau, lo stesso che ha curato l'acustica del nuovo Teatro Liceu di Barcellona, dopo l'incendio, "e' stato - come ha sottolineato Muti - perfetto". Arau ha eliminato il vecchio suolo realizzato compattando le macerie nella ricostruzione del dopoguerra, infine ricoperto di moquette di velluto rosso, e l'ha rimpiazzato con un suolo multistrato, legno e materiali sintetici, capace di riflettere il suono, finito con asticelle di rovere chiaro non attaccati, ma appoggiati solamente in modo che ampliare e riflettere meglio il suono dell'orchestra.

MUSICAL TEST (Following)
HAPPY DAY St. AMBROSIO MIRACLE  7 December 2004